	stary to cross out lines 1–6 below) 1–6 to be completed only by document signer[s], not Notary)
A-ffidavit	of Julie Osloich
FCC Guio	revision and update of -
	W X
Signature of Document Signer	No. 1 Signature of Document Signer No. 2 (if any)
(/ State of California	Subscribed and sworn to (or affirmed) before m
County of Sacramento	on this OV day of Fe, by 20 13 by Date day of Fe, by 20 13 Year
	(1) Julie Ann Ostoich-Prather
SHIRLEY CLAYTO Commission # 194 Notary Public - Call	proved to me on the basis of satisfactory evidence to be the person who appeared before me (.).
Sacramento Cou My Comm. Expires Jul	nty 2
	proved to me on the basis of satisfactory evidence
	to be the person who appeared before me
Place Notary Seal Above	Signature of Notary Public
	OPTIONAL
Though the information below is not re to persons relying on the document a and reattachment of this fo	nd could prevent fraudulent removal
Further Description of Any Attac	ched Document
Title or Type of Document:	
Document Date:	Number of Pages:
Signer(s) Other Than Named Above:	337

Before the Federal Communications Commission Washington, D.C. 20554

In the Matter of)	
)	
Notice of Proposed Rulemaking)	
18 FCC Rcd 13187, 13188 ¶1 (2003)		ET Docket No. 03-137
)	
And)	
)	
Service Rules for the Advanced Wireless Services		WT Docket No. 12-357
H BlockImplementing Section 6401 of the)	
Middle Class Tax Relief and Job Creation Act of)	
2012 Related to the 1915-1920 MHz and)	
1995-2000 MHz Bands ¶53 footnote 95)	

To: Office of the Secretary Federal Communications Commission Washington, DC 20554

Comment Filed by:

Julie Ostoich 3330 Kordes Way

Sacramento, California 95826

jostoich@hotmail.com

916-363-7347

February 6, 2013

AFFIDAVIT OF JULIE OSTOICH

State of California

Sacramento County

I, Julie Ostoich, attest that my statements are true to the best of my knowledge.

Comment round for ET Docket No. 03-137 and WT Docket No. 12-357.

- My name is Julie Ostoich My address is 3330 Kordes Way, Sacramento, California, 95826
- I am employed as a photographer and graphic artist at the University of California Davis Medical Center.
- 3. The recent forced installation of smart meters in my neighborhood that are sending RF signals between 13,000 to over 200,000 times a day is affecting my well being and causing alarming symptoms for family members and myself. As a concerned parent with a child who has a documented disability, in addition to suffering from serious chronic illness and immune dysfunction personally, I am very concerned for the safety of my family and myself. Since the installation of smart meters, I have been suffering with migraine like headaches and episodes of sharp stabbing pains in my head as well as extreme sleep disruption, tinnitus (high-pitched ringing or buzzing in the ears), heart palpitations and arrhythmias, chronic fatigue, muscle cramps, irritability, and difficulty with memory and concentration. My 14-year-old son has also complained of symptoms. He has learning difficulties that I believe are being impacted by the RF from smart meters and other EMF emitting technologies. RF exposure has been found to alter over 140 proteins necessary for brain function and linked to ADD/ADHD, dementia, memory deficits and brain tumors. The meter on our house is located on the outside wall of my son's bedroom near his bed where he sleeps.
- 4. As a parent and health conscious individual, my goal is to reduce and limit exposure to any and all possible risks that may impact or cause harm or threaten the health of myself

and family. The smart meter is an intrusion that violates my right to do this. I don't use a microwave oven or have WiFi in my home. With the smart meter, I believe we are being placed in harms way and not given a choice to protect ourselves as we see fit in our own home.

- 5. FCC's current RF safety guidelines fail to consider published research on the negative biological effects of RF on living organisms. The existing limits set by the FCC are based on outdated **short-term** research by industry-sponsored and taxpayer funded studies. These limits are inadequate and insufficient to protect humans from adverse health impacts including neurodegenerative diseases, reproductive problems, sleep disruption, immune dysfunction, cancer and electro-hypersensitivity. Carefully conducted comprehensive **long-term** scientific studies by impartial researchers are needed before subjecting the public to RF hazards.
- 6. Numerous unbiased peer reviewed scientific studies indicate that RF and EMF exposure pose serious health risks. The recent compilation of evidence in the BioInitiative 2012 report supports this: http://www.bioinitiative.org/. The FCC needs to take note of this report and update the current FCC guidelines to protect the public from potential adverse effects from RF exposure.

Respectfully submitted by

Julie OStorich

Julie Ostoich

3330 Kordes Way

Sacramento, California 95826

February 6, 2013